

## Please amend the claims as follows:

- 1. Cancelled.
- 2. Cancelled.
- 3. Cancelled.
- 4. Cancelled.
- 5. Cancelled.
- 6. Cancelled.
- 7. Cancelled.
- 8.-21. Previously cancelled.
- 22. Cancelled.
- 23. Cancelled.
- 24. Cancelled.
- 25. Cancelled.
- 26. Cancelled.
- 27. Cancelled.
- 28. Cancelled.
- 29. Cancelled.
- 30. Cancelled.
- 31. Cancelled.
- 32. Cancelled.
- 33. Cancelled.
- 34. Cancelled.
- 35. Cancelled.

36. (Amended) A method for diagnosis of blood brain barrier permeability in a subject comprising:

detecting a first elevated level of S100β in the blood of a patient; identifying a second elevated level of S100β in the blood of the patient; and comparing first and second elevated levels of S100β wherein a statistically relevant first level of S100β protein is indicative of blood brain barrier permeability without neuronal damage and a second elevated level of S100β is indicative of neuronal damage.

- 37. The method of claim 36, wherein the second elevated level of S100 $\beta$  has a value which is greater than said value of first elevated level of S100 $\beta$ .
  - 38. Cancelled
  - 39. Cancelled.
  - 40. Cancelled.
- 41. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36, wherein said value of said second elevated level of S100 $\beta$  is greater than twice the value of said first elevated level of S100 $\beta$ .
- 42. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36, wherein said value of said first elevated level of S100β is in the range of about 0.12 ng/ml to 0.35 ng/ml.
- 43. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36, wherein said value of said second elevated level of S100β is in the range of about 0.35 ng/ml.
- 44. (New) A method for diagnosis of blood brain barrier permeability in a subject comprising:

detecting a first elevated level of S100 $\beta$  in the blood of a patient, said first level of S100 $\beta$  being indicative of blood brain barrier permeability without neuronal damage; and

identifying a second elevated level of S100 $\beta$  in the blood of the patient, the second elevated level of S100 $\beta$  having a value greater than said value of said first elevated level of S100 $\beta$ .

- 45. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein said value of said second elevated level of S100β is indicative of neuronal damage.
- 46. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein said value of said second elevated level of S100 $\beta$  is greater than twice the value of said first elevated level of S100 $\beta$ .
- 47. (New) The method of claim 46, where wherein said value of said second elevated level of S100β is indicative of neuronal damage.
- 48. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein said value of said first elevated level of S100 $\beta$  is in the range of about 0.12 ng/ml to 0.35 ng/ml.
- 49. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein said value of said second level of S100 $\beta$  is in the range of about 0.35 ng/ml.
- 50. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein the first elevated level of  $S100\beta$  is detected using an immunoassay.
- 51. (New) The method of claim 44, wherein the second elevated level of  $S100\beta$  is detected using an immunoassay.
- 52. (New) The method of claim 50, wherein the immunoassay is an immunoprecipitation assay.
- 53. (New) The method of claim 51, wherein the immunoassay is an immunoprecipitation assay.
- 54. (New) The method of claim 44, further comprising detecting levels of NSE and GFAP.